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Dental Board of Australia
AHPRA National Office
GPO Box 9958
Melbourne 3001

via email: dentalboardconsultation@ahpra.gov.au

To whom it may concern,

Re: Scope of Practice Registration Standard consultation

The Australasian Academy of Dento-Facial Aesthetics (AADFA) represents 810 registered dental practitioners who are dedicated to advancing the art and science of Aesthetic Dentistry. AADFA is the only organization of its kind in Australasia, with our fundamental principle being to promote excellence through comprehensive education. To this end our efforts focus on offering thorough, "hands-on", practical training modules to Dentists in the use of dental and facial aesthetic techniques, with ongoing clinical and administrative support to ensure the highest standards of ethical conduct, clinical excellence and responsible patient care.

While AADFA supports a revision to the current Scope of Practice (SoP) Registration Standard in the interests of providing greater clarity and certainty for dental practitioners and the public; and while we support the majority of changes outlined in the draft proposal; we feel strongly that two key features of this document continue to undermine its goals.

1. The requirement listed as point 2. in the SoP and under point 1. Description of the dental profession in the accompanying Guidelines document:

“Dental practitioners must only perform dental treatment for which they have been formally educated and trained in programs of study approved by the Board”.

This statement remains unchanged from the current standard and on previous occasions AADFA has expressed its concern to the Dental Board of Australia (DBA) over the wording of this section. We feel strongly that it only serves to confuse, rather than clarify, the issue for dental practitioners and makes the modern day practice of dentistry unworkable.

While the Guidelines document acknowledges three distinct areas of education for dental practitioners – Approved Programs (formal education programs); Programs to extend scope (formerly known as add-on programs); and CPD Programs - the SoP restricts practitioners to only performing treatments acquired during the Approved Programs of study. As we understand it, presently the only Approved Programs are those tertiary courses providing basic qualifications/competencies for registration; specific “add-on” programs for specific topics and practitioners; and postgraduate specialist training programs.

Furthermore, the DBA has stated in the Guidelines document that, “The National Board has not specified an approval process for courses or course providers who provide CPD.” This scenario effectively means that any treatments, skills or services a dental practitioner acquires through continuing education programs are outside the scope of their practice – a situation that is absurd and unworkable.
In line with the evolving nature of dentistry and advances in research, education and training, dental practitioners are required to continually improve the manner in which dental services are rendered to a point where such services are provided more effectively and efficiently than ever before to offer more comprehensive patient care and attain better overall treatment outcomes. This goal is primarily and adequately achieved through CPD Programs. Dental practitioners would attest to the fact that the majority of the techniques, skills and treatments they provide for their patients in their everyday practices have come from acquiring knowledge through CPD Programs after attaining the basic tertiary qualification. In fact, many would say that while their tertiary qualification provided the foundation of knowledge, very little of what is performed daily is directly attributable to what was taught during these basic “approved” programs of study.

Indeed, if current wording is retained, all of the following procedures performed daily in general dental practice would be deemed outside the scope of practice as the majority of dental practitioners did not acquire these skills in “approved” programs of study:

- Teeth Whitening by Dentists
- Surgical placement of dental implants
- Prosthetic restoration of dental implants
- Sinus lift surgery associated with dental implant placement
- Bone augmentation procedures associated with dental implant placement
- Use of rotary instrumentation for endodontics
- Fixed orthodontic treatment
- Clear aligner orthodontics (eg. Invisalign)
- Surgical removal of impacted third molars
- Hard and soft tissue laser use
- And many more.......

Not only does this establish a point of confusion for practitioners but it has the potential for serious implications for public safety. If the SoP and Guidelines continue to effectively state that techniques and knowledge acquired in CPD Programs are outside the scope of practice, it is quite feasible that professional indemnity insurers may be provided with a “loop-hole” in which to decline coverage in the event of an adverse outcome arising from the use of such knowledge or technique, regardless of whether it is common place. This would leave both dental practitioners and the public unprotected.

There is an obvious failure to appreciate the vital role Continuing Education (CPD Programs) play in expanding the skills, knowledge and services of dental practitioners and in advancing the practice of dentistry. Should dental practitioners remain uncertain that CPD knowledge and skill would be included in their scope of practice, we may additionally see a situation where more modern, minimally invasive techniques are foregone in favour of older technology to the detriment of the public.

In light of this, AADFA proposes that the DBA seriously consider amending point 2 of the SoP and point 1. Of the Guidelines to read:

“Dental Practitioners must only perform dental treatment:

a) for which they have been educated and trained through either Approved Programs (formal education programs); Programs to extend scope; or CPD Programs (in line with the CPD Registration Standard and Guidelines), and
b) in which they are experienced and competent”
2. The “Definition of Dentistry” as defined in the Guidelines document.

AADFA does not believe it is useful or necessary for the DBA to attempt to define the practice of dentistry and it is our understanding that it is not within the remit of the DBA under the National Law to in any way attempt to control, define or specify which specific procedures, materials and techniques form the practice of dentistry.

Neither the Australian Health Workforce Ministerial Council, nor the Health Workforce Australia report, have at anytime suggested that defining the actual practice of dentistry is required or desirable and certainly not in relation to fulfilling the requirements of providing clearer scope of practice guidance to professionals.

Clear guidelines have been provided in the proposed document for each dental practitioner division, outlining the broad boundaries to their individual scopes of practice and we feel this is sufficient to achieve the National Board goals of providing certainty to all divisions of dental practitioners on their scope of practice and providing protection and certainty to the public in recognizing the divisions of dental practitioners and their scope of practice.

However, should the DBA see the need to include a definition of dentistry in the SoP and Guidelines, we feel that this needs to be further refined from its current form which is far to specific and prescriptive. We propose that if a definition is included, it is amended as follows:

1. Exception is taken to the statement, “The following range of activities are considered the practice of dentistry and cover the widest range of any procedures that a person educated in dentistry can carry out”. The information following this declaration is far too vague to attempt to make such a decisive statement, especially when using terms such as “including but not limited to”. Should the DBA wish to include this unequivocal statement, the information that follows is required to be a detailed and exhaustive account of all procedures, under all circumstances, for all dental practitioner divisions regardless of training or experience that is ever likely to arise during the course of dental practice. This would be impossible within the constraints of this document and as such this statement should be removed entirely from any definition, as it is vague, contradictory and unworkable.

2. The proposed definition includes specific examples of areas of practice (listed a through f) and yet is preceded by the statement “including but not limited to”. AADFA believes that if a definition is to be included for the purposes of the SoP and Guidelines it does not require these examples, especially as the preceding statement negates them, they become unnecessarily specific and can be misleading.

3. The reference to only addressing “diseases, deficiencies, deformities or lesions” excludes numerous treatment areas provided currently and traditionally in dental practice. Such terms would effectively mean that providing any cosmetic or aesthetic treatments would fall outside the definition and therefore the scope of dental practice. For example, it cannot be claimed that many cases involving teeth whitening, porcelain veneers and orthodontics are designed to address a disease, deficiency, deformity or lesion. Wording should adequately reflect the need to provide aesthetic treatments to meet the needs and desires of the public.

While AADFA believes the most appropriate direction would be to remove a definition of dentistry entirely, if such a definition must be retained we propose that it be general and inclusive in nature, for example:

“Dentistry involves the evaluation, diagnosis, prevention, management, advice and/or treatment (nonsurgical, surgical or other procedures) of diseases, disorders, deficiencies, deformities, lesions and/or other conditions (including for the purposes of improving aesthetic appearance) of the oral cavity, maxillofacial area and/or the adjacent and associated structures and their impact on the human body; provided by a registered dental practitioner; within the scope of his/her education, training and experience; and in accordance with the ethics of the profession and applicable law.”
Such a broad and inclusive definition is required so as to be consistent with the view of dentistry being both a science and an art and an understanding that dentistry is an evolving profession. As the profession evolves, so will the complexity and scope of procedures dental practitioners perform and any definition of dentistry needs to be broad enough to accommodate these modern developments.

AADFA concurs with all other revisions being proposed to the SoP and accompanying Guidelines.

Should you require anything further in support of this submission, please do not hesitate to contact AADFA at any time via email.

Sincerely,

Dr Myles Holt  BDS (Syd.)  FIADFE (New York)
Managing Director
Em: director@AADFA.net